Operational Research in Engineering Sciences: Theory and Applications Vol. 7, Issue 4, 2024, pp. 1-16 ISSN: 2620-1607 eISSN: 2620-1747 cross of DOI: https://doi.org/10.31181/oresta/070401



SUITABLE METHODOLOGY FOR THE DESIGN OF CIRCULAR REINFORCED CONCRETE SILOS

Hawra Mohamed Ali M. Taher*

Department of Civil Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Kerbala, Kerbala, Iraq.

Received: 28 July 2024 Accepted: 22 November 2024 First Online: 30 December 2024

Research Paper

Abstract: This paper introduces an advanced method for designing circular reinforced concrete silos by incorporating a variety of engineering standards and cutting-edge computational analysis. The research combines multiple silo design codes into one, thereby providing a more accurate assessment of radial and tangential forces on hopper and silo walls. The research studies the structural response of silos under multiple types of loads by finite element analysis in ABAQUS, focusing on stress distribution and failure. The silos made from cement have relatively higher uniform compressive forces as compared to those made from the storage of wheat, which increase the need for additional vertical reinforcements to avoid buckling. Shear stress becomes localized at transition zones in storage silos that are used to store wheat. This increases the chances of fatigue failure and cracking. This research also reveals the discrepancy between theoretical predictions and numerical simulations, meaning that there is a requirement for further improvement in the design of silos. According to these results, the study suggests structural changes such as reinforced transition points, better-positioned reinforcements, and seismic-resistant modifications to increase the safety and lifespan of silos. Finally, this research provides more dependable and economical solutions for the storage of bulk materials by improving existing design techniques.

Keywords: Circular Silo, RC, HSC, Design Procedures, ABAQUS

1. Introduction

The process of calculating the loads that are anticipated to act on a building is an essential first step in the design process for any architectural construction (Gu et al., 2023). The types of bins and silos for the storage of quantum solids are identical in all respect. It must be realised that containers for bulk solids are practically known by different names: bin, silo, tank, bunker, vessel, elevator, and others. Because these terms do not have commonly acceptable definitions, they shall be used interchangeably throughout this work. Unfortunately, the considerable loads offered

^{*}Corresponding Authors: <u>hawra.m@uokerbala.edu.iq</u>, (H. M. A. M. Taher)

by solids on their walls and interiors or even their interiors and the surfaces are not easily expressed or conceptualised (Demir & Livaoglu, 2023). As a consequence of this, silos and bins have a failure rate that is much greater than that of almost all other categories of manufacturing machinery. In other cases, the deficiency is limited to a mere disinformation or deformation, which, although unattractive, fails to constitute a threat to either the safety or the functioning of the system. There are also instances in which failure is characterized by the complete collapse of the building, which is accompanied by not being able to utilize it and may causes fatalities (Lenticchia et al., 2023; Sharaf et al., 2023).

In light of the significance of estimating silo loads, the question that naturally arises is, "Why isn't this comprehension more widespread?" There is a dearth of training in this area, which is a contributing factor to the issue. When confronted considering the need to examine a storage unit that is holding a bulk solid, a significant number of engineers make the incorrect assumption that a flowing bulk material acts in the same manner as a flowing liquid. Even if there is no relative motion existing between the solid particles, they are nevertheless able to transmit tensions produced by shear between one another, as well as inside the confines of the barriers that separate them from the silo. Furthermore, the amount of these shear stresses is not reliant on the shear strain rate or velocity for the majority of bulk materials (Sabapathy et al., 2021).Structures can produce pile regions that are sustainable, as well as stable flow interruptions, which are frequently referred to as arches and ratholes. The use of an established technique to compute silo loads is thus guaranteed to generate results that are not practical. For instance, a silo that is filled with fluid will have the lowest possible wall stress, however, the same container that is used to store a bulk solid would nearly maintain a constant maximum wall pressure in one area in the middle of its height (Durrant et al., 2022).

Concrete is the first material that comes to mind as a viable choice when considering the building of equipment for storing substances (Maraveas, 2020). Concrete is a material that can be used at any time. Not only is concrete capable of being moulded into any shape that can be conceived of, but it also has the advantage of being inexpensive. Concrete has proven to be an extremely important material due to the fact that it provides all of the design and construction flexibilities that are required by any industry while yet adhering to the cost constraints that are imposed by the market. Because of this, concrete is a good material to choose for usage in circumstances like these. One kind of vertical container that is used for the storage of granular materials is called a silo. When these sorts of buildings are developed, they are constructed at greater heights, and in order to collect the material, an entry is made into the base of the structure (Kaluarachchi, 2021). When considering the term "silo," two primary types of storage facilities come to mind: bunkers and bins. Bins, which are also a type of storage facility, can be distinguished from bunkers in their structural form. A bunker is typically a tall and slender container, whereas a bin is equally shaped but shorter in height. There are also variations within the bins. A deep bin is one where the plane of rupture contacts the opposite wall prior to curving downwards from the top of the fill. Shallow bins, on the other hand, have rupture surfaces that do not contact the opposite wall. Owing to their significance, there have been a number of load calculation methods and design factors proposed by various authors worldwide to improve their performance (Gandia et al., 2021).

For the design of bunkers and silos, the rules that are attainable are those of ACI.

In addition to this, different researchers presented several approaches to calculate the loads that is being moved and stacked within the bunkers and silos (Elkashef et al., 2022). It is common for silos to have a cylindrical or rectangular form; however, they may also be constructed in different shapes depending on the use of the material and the amount of storage space it required (Kumar & Reddy, 2023). The planning of the silo is determined by the fact that density of the material that is going to be stored as well as the angle of internal friction. Pressure is practitioner on the barriers of the silo in both a horizontal and vertical direction as a result of the materials. A significant number of designers are now confronted with a number of issues, one of which is the calculation of these forces with precision and the subsequent design of these structures. However, when these storage buildings are exposed to lateral seismic stresses, they become more susceptible to damage (Rajadesingu et al., 2024). All of these buildings are very fragile, and their failure would be disastrous. Through the use of analytical and numerical techniques, the majority of the studies have concentrated their attention on only few of the Silo components (Huang et al., 2025;Rotter et al., 1998).

Herein, this work computational analyses of the structural behaviour of silos under various loads is performed using finite element analysis in ABAQUS, with the objective to focus in dept of the stress distribution and failure possibilities.

2. Literature Survey

The study by Güvel (2025) examines factors affecting productivity in reinforced concrete chimney construction, using machine learning to predict slipform labour efficiency. Given that silos and RCC chimneys share similar construction challenges, such as slipform techniques and load-bearing considerations, these findings are relevant to silo design. Key parameters like daily rising height and slipform quantity significantly impact productivity, which can also influence silo construction efficiency. The study's use of Gradient Boosting Machines for predictive modelling, with an R² value of 0.900, highlights the potential for data-driven optimisation in reinforced concrete structures. Integrating such predictive techniques into silo design could improve construction timelines, material usage, and overall structural performance.

The study by Khalil et al. (2025) analysed how earthquakes induce dynamic overpressure in flat-bottom steel silos and found the inadequacies in the current European standard (EN 1998-4-2006), which is static. The authors compare, through finite element models and nonlinear time history analyses, seismic-induced pressure differences between slender and squat silos and account for the behaviour of the granular materials in storage by a hypoplasticity model. The results point to strong discrepancies between the static analysis and actual effects of seismic overpressure, and more accurate design procedures are needed. The results also extend to reinforced concrete silos, as a better understanding of dynamic loading will improve their seismic performance and structural safety.

The study by Jin et al. (2025) presents a study on the heat distribution of stored grain in semi-underground, double-buried squat silos and its effect on storage safety. Numerical modelling, validated by field experiments, revealed substantial temperature differentials between the aboveground and underground layers. The effects of external conditions are higher on the surface, while the underground layer

is consistently lower. The type of grain and starting temperature determine stability upon long-term storage with paddy seeing the largest fluctuations. Thus, these findings are significant for reinforced concrete silos in that temperature operating conditions affect material behaviour, durability of structures, and overall storage efficiency in environments with high thermal variation.

The advancement of 3D concrete printing (3DCP) and its impact on modern construction are examined by (Hassan et al., 2025), primarily on increased design flexibility, reduced material waste, and acceleration of project timelines. The work highlights the contribution of fibre-reinforced, geopolymer, and high-strength concrete to the improvement of mechanical performance and workability by analysing extrusion-based and powder-based printing techniques. While continental 3DCP mainly focuses on the construction of buildings and bridges, the potential applications for large-scale structural installations are slowly being recognized. This is particularly relevant to constructing a reinforced concrete silo in which breakthroughs in material science and automated fabrication could increase structural efficiency, decrease formwork needs, and help rationalize materials' use. Still, some challenges remain such as integration of steel reinforcement, requisite load-bearing capacity, and high-rise adaptation to printed concrete.

The authors Bazan and Fernandez-Davila (2024) evaluate the structural performance of two circular RC silos constructed in the 1970s, focusing on issues related to stability, strength, and outdated design standards. Their approach involves data collection, testing, and analysis, followed by a retrofit strategy aimed at enhancing stability, reducing foundation stresses, and reinforcing RC walls to withstand combined axial and bending forces. This aligns with the development of suitable methodologies for designing circular RC silos, emphasizing the importance of updating structural evaluations and incorporating modern seismic and load requirements in retrofit strategies. The study also includes a cost assessment comparing the reinforcement volumes of the original and retrofitted silos.

The authors Khed et al. (2024) focus on reducing the thickness of RC silo walls to enhance economy and buckling capacity by using different types of concrete. They perform buckling analysis of RC silos subjected to wind pressure, following Indian codes (IS: 4995, IS456, IS875) and considering combinational load cases for empty (EW) and full (FW) silos. Using SAP 2000 software, the study models four silo designs with consistent slenderness ratios. The results show that base shear, displacement, buckling factor, and Eigen values increase with concrete properties like elastic modulus and strength, while the natural period decreases. The study concludes that using different types of concrete leads to a more economical silo design by reducing self-weight and improving overall efficiency.

From the literature survey we concluded that there is a dire need for the computational modelling for the designing of concrete silo. Moreover, the computational modelling helps in understanding the structure more easily, precisely and concisely. The modelling not only reduces the cost of the structure, but also helps in optimizing the performance for the desired goal. Thus, in this work, we propose the optimized design of the silo with the objective to obtain the maximum output from the structure designing with minimum losses.

3. Design and Modelling

3.1 Ingredients of Silo

The reinforced concrete silo is comprised of several different components, including wall of silo, a bottom hopper, its slab in the roof, its ring support girder, its backing columns, and its foundations. The term "hopper" refers to a chamber or container that is formed like a funnel and is used to temporarily retain loose ingredients. While the material is being placed into the hopper from the top, it is being emptied of the hopper from the lowest part. The column, which is an existing pillar, is the component that is accountable for providing support for the walls of the silo. The foundation is the basis of the silo that is used to connect it to the ground and transmit loads from the building to the earth. Alternatively, the foundation connection is referred to. The ring girder is referred to as the structural member used in silos. In addition to the type of material being stored, the hopper angle is dictated by the position of hopper material's angle of internal friction before being filled (Krishna et al., 2020).

3.2 Recommendations for Silo Codes

There have been several efforts in recent years to standardize nomenclature to describe the pressures that are caused by solids on the inner walls of silos. The first to provide guidelines to assist designers in determining silo load was the German Standard DIN 1055. Since then, other societies in other nations have contributed to establishing solids-induced silo wall pressures, and work is still ongoing. They are called by a variety of names, including standards, codes, guidelines, recommended practices, and engineering practices. While codes and standards are legally binding, documents labelled as recommended practices, guidelines, or engineering practices are generally seen as voluntary suggestions rather than mandatory regulations. Although this distinction holds true from a legal standpoint, it does not absolve engineers from their responsibility to prioritize safety, regardless of the pressures they may face from clients or other stakeholders. Safety should always take precedence in design, followed by efficiency. It is advisable to treat instructions, suggested methods, and engineering standards as minimum obligatory requirements. Engineers are free to exercise professional discretion and revert to fundamental principles when developing a solution. However, if a design-related issue arises, the responsibility to justify the approach falls on the engineer. Should they fail to consider all relevant instructions, methods, and engineering practices, it would be extremely difficult, if not impossible, to defend their design against a strong presumption of noncompliance (Maraveas, 2020).

3.3 Considerations for the Silo Design

The design of silos takes into account two different kinds of considerations such as structural design and functional design. Functional design encompasses a variety of elements, including the selection of an acceptable hopper angle, the maintenance of operational safety, the provision of sufficient storage for materials, the protection of material stores from environmental and thermal hazards, and the development of satisfactory methods for filling and removing materials from silos. Stability, strength, and durability are three characteristics that should be considered for the structure. To design a silo that accounts for all factors influencing its performance, a structured approach is required (Annie et al., 2024). This method outlines each stage of the design process and incorporates various formulas derived from multiple sources. These formulas are organized in a way that simplifies and expedites the silo design process,

ensuring efficiency and accuracy in meeting the necessary performance criteria.

3.4 Design of RC Circular Silo

Circular silos are by far the most prevalent type of silo used in the modern world, although other shape silos may also be utilized to store material. Economics is the major factor that makes this reason. In comparison to circular silos, other shape silos have a higher requirement for horizontal reinforcement due to the presence of a bending moment where two walls meet. This moment is created by the presence of a bending moment where two walls meet in rectangular silos. In the case of rectangular silos, there is a large difference between wall pressure on the higher and lower edges. Due to this, pressure on the bottom part of the hopper is non-uniform, and as a result, the behaviour is more uncertain. In comparison to rectangular and square silos, circular silos provide an operation more susceptible to changing conditions. There is a possible dead store on the hopper slope if the hopper slope is not selected sufficiently prior to construction (Saleem et al., 2018).

3.4.1 Design of Silo Slab

The following equations are the primary formulae used to determine the moments and the radial moments (Carson & Craig, 2015).

$$M_r = \frac{q_u}{16}(3+v)(a^2-r^2)$$

Moreover, to determine the transverse moments, the following formula is considered.

$$M_t = \frac{q_u}{16} [a^2(3+v) - r^2(1+3v)]$$

Whereas, to compare its shear strength to that of the material using the following formula is used.

$$\emptyset V_c = 0.75 \left[\frac{1}{6} \sqrt{f_c'} b_w d \right]$$

3.4.2 Design of Silo Wall

The subsequent neutralization is applied to tally the thickness of the silo's walls and the following formula is used for the calculation.

$$h_{min} = \left[\frac{mE_s + f_s - nf_{c,ten}}{f_s f_{c,ten}}\right] \frac{PD}{2}$$

3.4.3 Design of Silo Ring Girder

The following formula is used for the calculation that was considered to get the maximum positive and negative moments.

$$M = coeff. \times W \times r$$

3.4.4 Design Silo Hopper Bottom

For the Hopper bottom, the following equations are used for the calculations.

$$F_{mu} = 1.6 \left[\frac{q_{\alpha,des}D}{4\sin\alpha} + \frac{W_t}{\pi D\sin\alpha} \right] + 1.2 \left[\frac{W_g}{\pi D\sin\alpha} \right]$$
$$F_{mu} = 1.6 \left[\frac{q_{\alpha,des}D}{4\sin\alpha} \right]$$

3.4.5 Design of Silo Column

Calculations of the loads that will be placed on the column are performed as part of the design process.

In addition, it is decided whether the column will be short or long. By utilizing the following equation, the appropriate size of the column's diameter can be determined.

$$A_g = \sqrt{\frac{P_u + 2M_x + 2M_y}{0.5f_c' + 0.01f_y}}$$

Using the following equation, one can determine how much additional reinforcem ent will be needed.

 $\phi_c P_n = P_u = 0.85 \times 0.7 \times [0.85 f'_c A_g + (f_y - 0.85 f'_c) A_{st}]$

3.5 Modelling of Reinforced Concrete Silo

3.5.1 Geometry Modelling

Two reinforced concrete circular silos have been designed to store 100 tons of wheat in Model 1 and cement in Model 2. The concrete compressive strength fc' is 20 MPa. The design follows the ACI 313-16 standards, with wheat having a density of 7850 N/m³ and cement with a density of 1440 kg/m³. The friction coefficient for wheat is $\mu = 0.466$, and for cement, it is $\mu' = 0.414$. The silo dimensions are detailed as per the design specifications. The height of the cylindrical section is 16 metres, and the height of the conical dome includes an additional 2.25 meters. Its main diameter measures 5 meters and the diameter of the opening is 0.5 meters. The walls are 150 millimetres thick. The in-depth details about the reinforcement are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Details of the Reinforcement

The C3D8R component was employed for the concrete in ABAQUS, whereas the T3D2 element was utilized for the reinforcement. To mimic the bonding effect among the concrete and reinforced elements, it was embedded into the concrete (Lee et al., 2020). The modelling design of the geometry is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Modelling Design

3.5.2 Material Modelling

The following formula serves as the foundation for the constitutive model for the axial compressive strength of concrete (Barbero, 2023).

$$\sigma = f_c \left[2\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0}\right)^2 \right] \qquad \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$$
$$f_c \left[1 - 0.15 \frac{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_u - \varepsilon_0} \right] \qquad \varepsilon_0 \le \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_u$$

Where fc represents the compressive strength of concrete, $\epsilon 0 = 0.002$ is the yield strain, and $\epsilon u = 0.0038$ is the ultimate strain. The following formula is used as a standard for the construction of reinforced concrete structures, which is further utilised by the constitutive model for unidirectional tensile behaviour of concrete.

$$\sigma = f_c \left[1.2 \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_t} - 0.2 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^6 \right] \quad \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_t$$
$$\sigma = f_c \left[\frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^t}}{\alpha_t \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_t} - 1 \right)^{1.7} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_t}} \right] \quad \varepsilon \ge \varepsilon_t$$

4. Results and Discussion

The numerical analysis carried out in the current work provides valuable insights into RC silo structural response under load conditions. Figures 3, 4, 5, and 6 display key aspects pertaining to stress distribution, mode of deformations, and modes of failure in the silo structure. These are valuable to understand the influence of load distribution on the structural performance of circular RC silos, particularly under variable material and environmental conditions.

4.1 Structural Performance and Stress Distribution

Figure 3 presents the results of finite element model (FEM) analysis for Model 1, reinforced concrete silo with wheat filling. The stress distribution in the silo wall and hopper bottom confirms that radial and tangential forces control structural performance (Bednarski et al., 2024). Stress concentrations in the middle and bottom part of the silo wall demonstrate that vertical pressure caused by the material under storage increases with increased depth, as theoretical models predict, where increased stress values should occur in the bottom part of cylindrical storage containers (Figure 3).



Figure 3: Model 1 Silo

Figure 4 provides a detailed stress contour of the hopper bottom for Model 1, showing localized high-stress zones near the hopper-wall junction. This is a critical observation, as failure at the transition between the cylindrical wall and the hopper is one of the most common structural deficiencies in silo design. The numerical results indicate that the interface between the hopper and the vertical walls experiences bending moments and shear forces that could lead to cracking and material fatigue over time (Figure 4). This finding aligns with previous studies that highlight structural vulnerabilities at transition points due to differential settlement and stress concentrations (Gu et al., 2023).



Suitable Methodology for The Design of Circular Reinforced Concrete Silos

Figure 4: Model 1 Hoper Bottom

4.2 Comparison between Wheat and Cement Storage Models

A comparative analysis between Model 1 and Model 2 reveals distinct stress patterns based on material properties. Model 2, which represents a silo storing cement, exhibits a different stress distribution, as illustrated in Figures 5 and 6. Cement, being denser than wheat, exerts greater lateral and vertical pressures on the silo walls. This results in higher stress intensity along the lower half of the silo wall in Figure 5. The increased pressure at the base suggests a greater likelihood of wall bulging or progressive cracking, which is a known failure mode in high-density material storage (Demir & Livaoglu, 2023; Kawecki et al., 2022).



Figure 5: Model 2 Silo

Figure 6 illustrates the stress distribution on the hopper bottom for Model 2, showing that cement storage leads to a more uniform stress pattern in the lower section of the silo. Unlike wheat, which has a variable flow pattern and non-uniform pressure distribution, cement exhibits higher compaction and more predictable pressure gradients. The uniformity observed in Figure 6 suggests that cement storage silos require a stronger hopper-bottom interface to withstand prolonged compressive forces without excessive deformation.



Figure 6: Model 2 Hoper Bottom

4.3 Failure Modes and Structural Implications

A key takeaway from Figures 3 to 6 is the variation in failure modes between different material storage scenarios. In Model 1, failure is likely to occur at the transition zone between the silo wall and the hopper, primarily due to high shear forces and stress discontinuities (Figure 4). This is consistent with real-world failures in silos storing granular materials, where material flow irregularities contribute to localized stress amplification (Sharaf et al., 2023). In contrast, Model 2 (Figures 5 and 6) suggests a more distributed stress pattern but with a higher overall force magnitude. The potential failure mechanism here is wall buckling due to high vertical pressure, which is a common issue in tall and slender silos storing fine powders (Mehretehran & Maleki, 2021). The numerical results reinforce the need for additional vertical reinforcement in high-density material silos to counteract excessive compressive loads.

4.4 Seismic and Dynamic Considerations

Although the study primarily focuses on static load distribution, the stress concentration patterns observed in Figures 3–6 have direct implications for seismic stability. Previous research indicates that silos with high stress differentials at transition points are more susceptible to seismic damage (Sabapathy et al., 2021). The numerical results suggest that reinforcing the junction between the hopper and silo wall with additional shear reinforcement would enhance earthquake resilience. Additionally, the dynamic response of stored materials should be considered. Wheat exhibits flow-related dynamic effects, leading to shifting pressure distributions during

loading and unloading. On the other hand, cement, due to its fine particle nature, behaves as a more compacted mass, reducing internal movement but increasing base pressure. This distinction, as observed in the FEM results, is crucial for designing material-specific reinforcement strategies (Benkhellat et al., 2024; Mohammad et al., 2022).

4.5 Practical Design Recommendations Based on the Results

The findings provide strong evidence for optimizing silo design based on stored material properties. Based on the results, the following recommendations can be made:

- 1. Enhanced reinforcement at the silo-hopper transition Since stress concentration at the hopper junction is a critical failure point (Figure 4), the inclusion of shear reinforcement and transition ring stiffeners should be considered in design guidelines.
- 2. Increased vertical reinforcement for high-density storage Given the higher lateral and vertical pressures observed in cement silos (Figure 5), additional vertical steel reinforcement and thicker walls are necessary to prevent wall buckling.
- 3. Material-specific hopper angles The stress distribution differences suggest that the hopper angle should be optimized based on material flow properties. A steeper angle may be required for wheat to facilitate flow, whereas a more stable, shallower angle may be suitable for cement.
- 4. Consideration of seismic loads The observed stress concentrations at structural interfaces (Figures 3 and 6) indicate the need for seismic-resistant design modifications, particularly for silos located in high-risk earthquake zones.

In summary, the analysis of Figures 3–6 demonstrates the critical role of material properties in determining stress distribution, structural behaviour, and potential failure modes in RC silos. The numerical results validate theoretical expectations, emphasizing the need for targeted reinforcement strategies based on stored material characteristics. The findings also highlight key considerations for seismic stability, hopper design, and load optimization, which are crucial for improving long-term durability and safety in silo construction. Future studies should incorporate experimental validation and real-world performance assessments to further refine the proposed design approach. By integrating finite element modelling with structural optimization principles, this research provides valuable insights for engineers and designers seeking to enhance the resilience of circular RC silos.

5. Conclusion

The computational study made in this paper gives significant insight into how the stress and deformation of the structure and impacted the integrity of the reinforced concrete silos. The results of the test indicate that the critical region of weakness is at the junction of the silo wall to the hopper, especially in storing granular materials, that is, Wheat. The simulations confirm cement storage produces more evenly distributed vertical load and increases the likelihood of wall buckling, whereas it leads to shear stress differentials, making transition areas prone to cracking and material fatigue in the case of wheat storage. The comparison between theoretical models and finite element results further throws open variations in wall pressure estimations to a far

extent, implying a need for refinement in existing silo design codes. Moreover, this study calls for specific reinforcement strategies, especially in the silos of high-density materials, to avoid premature structural failure. Moreover, the results indicate seismic-resistant designs as there is a differential stress distribution, which puts the structure at a greater risk under dynamic loading conditions. Research in these aspects could focus on experimental validation through testing in real-life applications and the integration of smart sensor-based monitoring systems for continuous structural assessment. By using these critical understanding and perceptions, engineers will be able to increase the lifespan, safety, and overall functionality of silos, making large-scale material handling more reliable and cost-effective.

6. Future Directions

Future research should prioritize validating the proposed silo design methodology with real-world experiments to enhance its credibility. Full-scale testing of reinforced concrete silos under different loading conditions would provide essential empirical data, strengthening the findings from the numerical simulations. It's also important to consider how cyclic loading and long-term material degradation affect the structural integrity of silos over time. Future studies could explore the role of dynamic loading—such as wind and seismic forces—on stress distribution and potential failure points, particularly in silos of varying shapes and sizes. The integration of smart monitoring technologies, like embedded sensors that track stress in real-time, could offer more proactive ways to detect early signs of structural problems. Additionally, employing machine learning techniques to optimize hopper designs based on the specific materials stored could improve operational efficiency and reduce the risk of structural damage.

7. Study Limitations

This is a study with the limitation of dependency on numerical simulations without real-world experimental validation. Although useful information is gleaned from FEM conducted in ABAQUS, it is recommended that such results are validated through laboratory or field testing for accuracy. One more drawback is that it is static loadbased and silos, being in the real world, encounter dynamic loads owing to various influences like material flow, environmental forces, and earthquakes. Furthermore, the influence of temperature and moisture content variation within concrete is also not accounted for in this study, which would affect the structural integrity over the long run of the silo. Additionally, the study does not show the real applications, as assumptions on ideal properties of both the concrete and materials in storage make no allowance for real-world effects due to variances in the quality of the materials and degree of compaction affecting load. Issues such as construction defects during the fabrication process and variations of material properties cannot be considered either, which will have an influence on the functioning of the entire structure. Another area still uninvestigated is that of comparison of various structural options, namely between steel silos or hybrid material silos, which would enlarge the applicability of the findings. In addition, while the study provides improvements in design, it does not take into consideration the related economic effects of such changes, which would make it harder to judge the cost-effectiveness of the suggested changes. Finally, the

scope of the study is limited to a narrow set of silo types and materials, so the results may not be fully transferable to other storage structures with different configurations.

8. Implications

The findings from this study will have consequences in improving designs and enhancement of circular reinforced concrete silo operations efficiency. This research gives a systematic method of assessing the distribution of stress along with the exact identification of points for failure using various design codes and finite element analysis. This insight can help engineers fine-tune reinforcement strategies to enhance structural safety and reduce the risk of overloading or stress imbalances caused by uneven material flow. The study also underlines the importance of customizing silo designs according to the type of material being stored since different materials exert different stresses on the silo walls and hoppers. Such information might enable better construction designs, meaning cheaper and possibly reduced maintenance, such as replacement and reconstruction costs over time, with a long-term use for silos. Third, design involving seismic requirements might be quite useful in seismic zones because this makes silos stand lateral forces better. This study further opens avenues for future studies on smart monitoring systems that could be used for real-time evaluation of silo health and predictive maintenance needs. Moreover, the methodologies developed here can be extended to other types of storage structures, such as steel silos or those incorporating hybrid materials, thus furthering the scope of the results. From an economic point of view, optimizing silo designs, especially in terms of hopper and wall configurations, could lead to considerable savings in construction costs, while still ensuring safety and operational efficiency. This approach provides useful insights for industries that require large-scale storage solutions for bulk materials.

References

- Annie, S. J. J., Prathiksha, K., Kaviya, K., & Kavya Dharshini, P. (2024). Analysis and Design of Steel Cement Storage Silo. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 920, 63-75. https://doi.org/10.4028/p-35rOdL
- Barbero, E. J. (2023). *Finite element analysis of composite materials using Abaqus*®. CRC press. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003108153
- Bazan, J. L., & Fernandez-Davila, V. I. (2024). Evaluation and Retrofit of Circular Reinforced Concrete Silos Built in Lima, Peru, in the 1970s. *Journal of Rehabilitation in Civil Engineering*, 12(2), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.22075/jrce.2023.28722.1731
- Bednarski, Ł., Howiacki, T., & Sieńko, R. (2024). Clinker storage silos: validation of dynamic performance through measurements and finite element simulation. Structures, 106161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2024.106161
- Benkhellat, S., Kadri, M., & Seghir, A. (2024). Numerical investigation of the effects of soil-structure and granular material-structure interaction on the seismic response of a flat-bottom reinforced concrete silo. *Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Vibration*, *23*(3), 609-623. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11803-024-2260-x
- Carson, J., & Craig, D. (2015). Silo design codes: Their limits and inconsistencies. *Procedia* engineering, 102, 647-656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2015.01.157

- Demir, A. D., & Livaoglu, R. (2023). SSI effects on seismic response of RC flat-bottom circular silos. Structures, 105296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2023.105296
- Durrant, A., Markovic, M., Matthews, D., May, D., Enright, J., & Leontidis, G. (2022). The role of cross-silo federated learning in facilitating data sharing in the agri-food sector. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *193*, 106648. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2021.106648
- Elkashef, M., Harvey, J., Jones, D., & Jiao, L. (2022). The impact of silo storage on the fatigue and cracking resistance of asphalt mixes. *Construction and Building Materials*, 326, 126880. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2022.126880
- Gandia, R. M., Oliveira Júnior, E. A. D., Gomes, F. C., Paula, W. C. D., & Dornelas, K. C. (2021). Experimental pressures exerted by maize in slender cylindrical silo: Comparison with Iso 11697. *Engenharia Agrícola*, *41*, 576-590. https://doi.org/10.1590/1809-4430-Eng.Agric.v41n6p576-590/2021
- Gu, D., Mustafa, S., Pan, J., & Luković, M. (2023). Reinforcement-concrete bond in discrete modeling of structural concrete. *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 38(10), 1324-1345. https://doi.org/10.1111/mice.12937
- Güvel, Ş. T. (2025). Forecasting slipform labor productivity in the construction of reinforced concrete chimneys. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 16(1), 103192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asej.2024.103192
- Hassan, A., Alomayri, T., Noaman, M. F., & Zhang, C. (2025). 3D Printed Concrete for Sustainable Construction: A Review of Mechanical Properties and Environmental Impact. Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering, 1-31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11831-024-10220-5
- Huang, X., Yue, Y., Zhu, B., & Chen, Y. (2025). Suggestion of a dimensionless number for dynamic tensile responses and failure of underground concrete silos subjected to soil explosions. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*, 158, 106433. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2025.106433
- Jin, L., Zhu, D., Li, C., Qiao, L., Wang, X., & Wu, Q. (2025). Numerical Method-Based Grain Temperature Distribution of Semi-Underground Double-Storey Squat Silos During Static Storage. *Journal of Food Process Engineering*, 48(1), e70032. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpe.70032
- Kaluarachchi, Y. (2021). Potential advantages in combining smart and green infrastructure over silo approaches for future cities. *Frontiers of Engineering Management*, *8*, 98-108. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42524-020-0136-y
- Kawecki, B., Halicka, A., & Podgórski, J. (2022). Buckling of cylindrical concrete tanks and silos due to prestressing—nonlinear approach. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 176, 109339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2022.109339
- Khalil, M., Ruggieri, S., Tateo, V., Butenweg, C., Nascimbene, R., & Uva, G. (2025). Assessment of the seismic overpressure in flat bottom steel silos based on advanced FE modelling approach. *Soil dynamics and earthquake engineering*, *190*, 109149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soildyn.2024.109149
- Khed, V. C., Adamu, M., Mulla, A., Gundakalle, V. D., & Ibrahim, Y. E. (2024). Modelling the Buckling Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Silo Incorporating Different Types of Concrete. Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainability: Developments and Innovations, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-8712-8_1
- Krishna, R., Prathap, H., Narendra, B., & Mahadevaiah, T. (2020). Analysis of silo and

comparing silo with different end condition. AIP Conference Proceedings, 0094-243X. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5141543

- Kumar, D. U., & Reddy, V. M. (2023). Analysis and design of reinforced concrete silo by conventional method. E3S Web of Conferences, 2267-1242. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202339101200
- Lee, S.-H., Abolmaali, A., Shin, K.-J., & Lee, H.-D. (2020). ABAQUS modeling for posttensioned reinforced concrete beams. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 30, 101273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2020.101273
- Lenticchia, E., Miraglia, G., Quattrone, A., & Ceravolo, R. (2023). Condition assessment of an early thin reinforced concrete vaulted system. *International Journal of Architectural Heritage*, *17*(2), 343-361. https://doi.org/10.1080/15583058.2021.1922784
- Maraveas, C. (2020). Concrete silos: Failures, design issues and repair/strengthening methods. *Applied Sciences*, *10*(11), 3938. https://doi.org/10.3390/app10113938
- Mehretehran, A. M., & Maleki, S. (2021). Seismic response and failure modes of steel silos with isotropic stepped walls: The effect of vertical component of ground motion and comparison of buckling resistances under seismic actions with those under wind or discharge loads. *Engineering Failure Analysis, 120,* 105100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2020.105100
- Mohammad, Z., Anwar, M., Ansari, S. S., & Baqi, A. (2022). Finite Element Modelling of RC Silo subjected to Thermal Loads. In *Recent Trends in Civil Engineering: Select Proceedings of ICRACE 2021* (pp. 419-427). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-4055-2_33
- Rajadesingu, S., Mendonce, K. C., Palani, N., Monisha, P., Vijayakumar, P., & Ayyadurai, S. (2024). Exploring the potential of bacterial concrete: A sustainable solution for remediation of crack and durability enhancement–A critical review. *Construction and Building Materials, 439,* 137238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2024.137238
- Rotter, J., Holst, J., Ooi, J., & Sanad, A. (1998). Silo pressure predictions using discrete– element and finite–element analyses. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 356(1747), 2685-2712. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1998.0293
- Sabapathy, Y., Sabarish, S., Nithish, C., Ramasamy, S., & Krishna, G. (2021). Experimental study on strength properties of aluminium fibre reinforced concrete. *Journal of King Saud University-Engineering Sciences*, 33(1), 23-29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksues.2019.12.004
- Saleem, M. U., Khurshid, H., Qureshi, H. J., & Ahmad, Z. (2018). The Open Construction and Building Technology Journal. *The Open Construction and Building Technology Journal*, 12(1), 234-250. http://doi.org/10.2174/1874836801812010234
- Sharaf, T., Hassan, M., & Ramadan, O. (2023). Seismic Analysis of RC Clinker Silos. *Electronic Journal of Structural Engineering*, 23(1), 13-27. https://doi.org/10.56748/ejse.233371